United States Court of AppealsFOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No.	o. 03-2	756
Roy Bales, Sr.,	*	
•	*	
Appellant,	*	
11	*	
v.	*	Appeal from the United States
	*	District Court for the Northern
John F. Ault; Russell Behrends; Jim	*	District of Iowa.
Slaw; Katherine Lint; Marvin Kent;	*	
Phil Kauder; Don Folkerts; Mary Ros	e; *	[UNPUBLISHED]
Lisa M. Krigsten; Robert Raymond	*	-
Butler; and Thomas J. Miller,	*	
	*	
Appellees.	*	
Submitted: December 5, 2003		

Submitted: December 5, 2003 Filed: December 15, 2003

Before WOLLMAN, FAGG, and MORRIS SHEPPARD ARNOLD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Roy Bales, Sr., appeals the district court's *preservice dismissal of Bales's civil action, and the district court's denial of Bales's motions for reconsideration. We conclude most of Bales's claims are res-judicata barred, because a final judgment on

^{*}The Honorable Mark W. Bennett, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Northern District of Iowa.

the merits was rendered in an earlier federal case involving the same parties and these same claims. See Canady v. Allstate Ins. Co., 282 F.3d 1005, 1014 (8th Cir. 2002) (elements of res judicata). We further conclude any new allegations made by Bales in his complaint fail to state claims on which relief could be granted. See Ballinger v. Culotta, 322 F.3d 546, 548 (8th Cir. 2003) (this court may affirm for any reason supported by record). Finally, we conclude the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying the motions for reconsideration, whether brought under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) or 60(b). See Perkins v. U S West Communications, 138 F.3d 336, 340 (8th Cir. 1998) (standard of review for Rule 59(e) motions); Brooks v. Ferguson-Florissant Sch. Dist., 113 F.3d 903, 905 (8th Cir. 1997) (standard of review for Rule 60(b) motions). Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47A(a).